

Editorial

Advanced Technologies for Maritime and Underwater Archaeology

Javier Prieto ^{1,*}, Fabio Bruno ², Antonio Lagudi ², Michela Ricca ³, Mauro Francesco La Russa ³, George Papatheodorou ⁴ and Nikola Mišković ⁵

¹ BISITE Research Group, University of Salamanca, 37007 Salamanca, Spain

² Department of Mechanical, Energy and Management Engineering, University of Calabria, 87036 Cosenza, Italy

³ Department of Biology, Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Calabria, 87036 Cosenza, Italy

⁴ Department of Geology, University of Patras, 26504 Patras, Greece

⁵ Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

* Correspondence: javierp@usal.es

Underwater cultural heritage (UCH) is a crucial asset for our knowledge of human history and traditions. It is tangible evidence of past human life that has to be protected for ensuring its accessibility relative to present and future generations.

The documentation, preservation, and dissemination of UCH are open challenges that are highly interdisciplinary because they involve collaboration among various experts from diverse fields such as archaeology, geology, biology, marine science, engineering, robotics, computer science, and numerous other disciplines. Researchers in the field have to face several challenges: the lack of coordinated regulatory and planning policies, methods, tools, and resources; ineffective protection of cultural heritage and the inability to use them as assets for sustainable and responsible tourism development; insufficient measures to tackle the effects of climate change or the damage they suffered in the underwater environment; or the lack of knowledge and, most of all, of techniques and materials suitable for underwater in situ conservation and protection. Moreover, they have to study and manage a variety of archaeological sites from shipwrecks to submerged settlements in a wide range of underwater environments from shallow marine, riverine, and lacustrine environments to marine abyssal environments, characteristics that introduce great complexity to approaches.

In this Special Issue, we present original and high-quality research articles and technical notes devoted to the knowledge of underwater materials and promote innovative methodologies, applications, and emerging technological solutions on the subject of materials that lie underwater. The following papers were selected from a larger set of submissions.

Gkionis et al. (2021) [1] present the benefits of the integration and correlation of bathymetric, marine geophysical, and positioning data in a common three-dimensional (3D) geographical platform [1]. The authors have proved that this combined methodological approach provides the reliable and fast detection of features of archaeological interests that lay on and/or beneath the seafloor.

Scalercio et al. (2021) [2] propose three innovative underwater power tools for submerged archaeological sites: an underwater cleaning brush tool, a multifunctional underwater hammer drill, and an injection tool. The authors show with field tests that these tools can be useful for the maintenance of underwater structures.

Wang et al. (2021) [3] design a prototype of robotic fish that allows propulsion and maneuverability in any direction by changing the orientation of a spatially oscillating rigid caudal fin included in the prototype. This fin is inspired by carangiform fish and by dolphins and operated by three steering engines that were validated by means of experimental tests with a prototype.

Bruno et al. (2020) [4] present the results achieved within the BLUEMED project (<https://bluemed.interreg-med.eu/>, last access 10 March 2023), showing the potential



Citation: Prieto, J.; Bruno, F.; Lagudi, A.; Ricca, M.; La Russa, M.F.; Papatheodorou, G.; Mišković, N. Advanced Technologies for Maritime and Underwater Archaeology. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2023**, *11*, 593. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse11030593>

Received: 28 February 2023

Accepted: 6 March 2023

Published: 10 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

of underwater cultural sites to support sustainable tourism development in the Mediterranean while also developing a multidisciplinary plan for managing Underwater Museums and Knowledge Centres, promoting innovation in the diving industry, and improving user/tourists' experience via value-added services and cutting-edge technologies.

Wright et al. (2020) [5] describe the experiments and results of a study carried out to determine the accuracy of 3D models created with a multi-camera, diver-operated photogrammetry platform. They demonstrate that 3D models produced by this platform are an accurate representation of what lies underwater even in a worst-case scenario with uncalibrated lenses, no ground control, and a bowing effect.

Author Contributions: J.P., F.B., A.L., M.R., M.F.L.R., G.P. and N.M. jointly developed the concept and co-wrote this editorial. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work carried by the editors in this Special Issue was partially supported by the TEC-TONIC project funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant, agreement No. 873132.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Gkionis, P.; Papatheodorou, G.; Geraga, M. The Benefits of 3D and 4D Synthesis of Marine Geophysical Datasets for Analysis and Visualisation of Shipwrecks, and for Interpretation of Physical Processes over Shipwreck Sites: A Case Study off Methoni, Greece. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 1255. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Scalercio, E.; Sangiovanni, F.; Gallo, A.; Barbieri, L. Underwater Power Tools for In Situ Preservation, Cleaning and Consolidation of Submerged Archaeological Remains. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 676. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Wang, S.; Han, Y.; Mao, S. Innovation Concept Model and Prototype Validation of Robotic Fish with a Spatial Oscillating Rigid Caudal Fin. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 435. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Bruno, F.; Ricca, M.; Lagudi, A.; Kalamara, P.; Manglis, A.; Fourkiotou, A.; Papadopoulou, D.; Veneti, A. Digital Technologies for the Sustainable Development of the Accessible Underwater Cultural Heritage Sites. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 955. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Wright, A.E.; Conlin, D.L.; Shope, S.M. Assessing the Accuracy of Underwater Photogrammetry for Archaeology: A Comparison of Structure from Motion Photogrammetry and Real Time Kinematic Survey at the East Key Construction Wreck. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 849. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.